Case 2:15-cr-00113-GW Document 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 1 of 28 Page ID #:1 FILED 2015 MAR 10 PM 4: 41 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST, OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA January 2015 Grand Jury 15 00113 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, <u>INDICIMENT</u> V. [18 U.S.C. § 371: Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1546(a): Fraud and Misuse of Visas, Permits, and HEE SUN SHIM, aka "Leonard Shim," Other Documents; 8 U.S.C. aka "Leo Shim," \$\$ 1324(a)(1)(A)(iv), (B)(i): HYUNG CHAN MOON, Encouraging Illegal Residence for Private Financial Gain; 18 U.S.C. aka "Steve Moon," and EUN YOUNG CHOI, § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i): Laundering of aka "Jamie Choi," Monetary Instruments; 18 U.S.C. § 2: Causing an Act to be Done; Defendants. 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 982(a)(1), (a)(6)(A): Criminal Forfeiture] The Grand Jury charges:

INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1. Defendant HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim" ("SHIM"), owned, controlled, managed, and operated the following businesses, each of which was located within the Central District of California:
- a. Prodee University/Neo-America Language School ("Prodee/Neo"), located at: (1) 2975 Wilshire Boulevard, Suites 500,

510, 526, and 540, Los Angeles, California 90010; and (2) 3251 West 6th Street, Suites 418 and 420, Los Angeles, California 90020.

- b. Walter Jay M.D. Institute, an Educational Center ("WJMD"), located at 501 Shatto Place, Suite 120, Los Angeles, California 90020.
- c. American College of Forensic Studies ("ACFS"), located at 2975 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 430, Los Angeles, California 90010.
- d. Likie Fashion and Technology College ("Likie"), previously located at 8450 East Garvey Avenue, Suite 201, Rosemead, California 91770, until on or about August 29, 2014, and presently located at 1000 South Fremont Avenue, Unit 46, Building A10, Suite 10425, Alhambra, California 91803.
- 2. Defendants HYUNG CHAN MOON, aka "Steve Moon" ("MOON"), and EUN YOUNG CHOI, aka "Jamie Choi" ("CHOI"), were employed by defendant SHIM, and assisted defendant SHIM in operating and managing Prodee/Neo, WJMD, ACFS, and Likie.
- 3. Prodee/Neo, WJMD, ACFS, and Likie were schools that admitted and purported to educate foreign citizens who desired to pursue academic studies in the United States.
- 4. The Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") was responsible for processing requests submitted by foreign citizens seeking lawful entry into and authority to remain in the United States. DHS was further responsible for collecting and maintaining accurate records of non-immigrant aliens living in the United States.
- 5. DHS ran several programs through which aliens could obtain permission to enter and remain in the United States, including the F-1 Student Visa Program. Under this program, foreign students could enter and remain in the United States on a temporary basis to pursue

academic studies. In order to obtain the immigration benefits afforded by the F-1 Student Visa Program, a foreign student had to be accepted into a DHS-approved school, and the same school had to issue the student a "Certificate of Eligibility for Nonimmigrant (F-1) Student Status - For Academic and Language Students" (commonly referred to as a "Form I-20"). By issuing a Form I-20 to a foreign student, the DHS-approved school certified that the student: (1) met all standards of admission for the school based on a review of the student's application, transcripts, proof of financial responsibility, and other records; and (2) had been accepted for, and would be required to pursue, a full course of study.

- 6. Once a foreign student received a Form I-20 from a DHS-approved school, the student was eligible to receive an F-1 Visa. The foreign student could then use the F-1 Visa and Form I-20 to enter and remain in the United States for a period of time known as "duration of status." Federal regulations defined "duration of status" as the time during which a foreign student was pursuing a full course of study at a DHS-approved school. Additionally, a foreign student was considered to be maintaining valid F-1 student status if he or she was making normal progress toward completing a course of study. After the foreign student completed his or her course of study, the student was required to depart the United States.
- 7. Once in the United States, a foreign student was permitted to transfer from one DHS-approved school to another, as long as the student was maintaining valid F-1 student status and pursuing a full course of study. In order to effect such a transfer while maintaining valid status, a foreign student had to first obtain a

- Form I-20 from the DHS-approved school to which the student intended to transfer. The foreign student could then transfer to that school and remain in the United States as long as he or she pursued a full course of study at the new DHS-approved school.
- 8. The Student Exchange Visitors Information System ("SEVIS") was the government-run computer database that DHS-approved schools were required to use to maintain up-to-date and accurate records regarding the foreign students attending their schools. To that end, DHS-approved schools were required to report in SEVIS when foreign students completed their studies, dropped below a full course of study, failed to maintain status, or failed to complete their educational programs. The SEVIS database was the mechanism through which immigration officials monitored the status of foreign students who were enrolled at DHS-approved schools.
- 9. Prodee/Neo, WJMD, ACFS, and Likie were DHS-approved schools that were authorized to issue Form I-20s and admit foreign students.

COUNT ONE

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[18 U.S.C. § 371]

1. The Grand Jury realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.

A. OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY

2. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury and continuing to on or about March 10, 2015, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendants HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim" ("SHIM"), HYUNG CHAN MOON, aka "Steve Moon" ("MOON"), and EUN YOUNG CHOI, aka "Jamie Choi" ("CHOI"), and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, conspired, combined, and agreed with each other to commit the following offense against the United States: To knowingly forge, alter, falsely make, use, possess, obtain, accept, and receive, and willfully cause to be forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, a document prescribed by statute and regulation for entry into and as evidence of authorized stay and employment in the United States, that is, a Form I-20 for foreign students to attend Prodee/Neo, WJMD, ACFS, or Likie, knowing it to be forged, altered, and falsely made, to have been procured by means of a false claim and statement, and to have been otherwise procured by fraud and unlawfully obtained, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1546(a) and 2(b).

111

///

111

///

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

В. MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY WAS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED

The object of the conspiracy was to be accomplished, in substance, as follows:

- 1. Defendant SHIM, with the assistance of defendants MOON and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would manage and operate four DHS-approved schools in the Los Angeles area, that is: Prodee/Neo, WJMD, ACFS, and Likie (collectively, the "Prodee/Neo Network").
- 2. Defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would accept and enroll foreign students into the Prodee/Neo Network, despite knowing that the foreign students they accepted and enrolled were not bona fide students, had no intention of attending the schools within the Prodee/Neo Network, and were living outside of the State of California (where the Prodee/Neo Network of schools are located), in states such as Arizona, Hawaii, Illinois, Nevada, Texas, and Washington.
- 3. Defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would inform the purported foreign students that they could enroll at one of the schools in the Prodee/Neo Network without having to attend classes.
- After accepting these purported foreign students for admission into the Prodee/Neo Network, defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would issue and provide to the purported foreign students Form I-20s that were forged, altered, falsely made, and procured by fraud since the purported foreign students had no intention of attending school and were not bona fide students.

.

- Jury, would also enter information into SEVIS indicating that the purported foreign students enrolled in the Prodee/Neo Network of schools: (1) had been accepted for, and would be required to pursue, a full course of study; and (2) were attending classes at the schools, although defendant SHIM knew that the purported foreign students had no intention of attending school, and were, in fact, not attending school. In addition, defendant SHIM, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would not inform DHS, through SEVIS, when the purported foreign students failed to: pursue a full course of study; maintain their F-1 student status; and complete their educational programs.
- 6. In order to conceal from immigration officials the fact that many of the purported foreign students who were enrolled in the Prodee/Neo Network lived outside of the State of California and thus did not attend classes at the schools in the Prodee/Neo Network, defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would not list the out-of-state addresses of these purported foreign students on their Form I-20s, or in SEVIS, and would instead provide false Los Angeles-area addresses to DHS for these foreign students. However, at the same time, defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would send Form I-20s and other school-related documents, via United States mail and private mail delivery, to the out-of-state addresses of these purported foreign students.
- 7. In order to deceive immigration officials, defendants SHIM and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would create fraudulent student records, including attendance records and

transcripts, for some of the foreign students who were purportedly attending schools in the Prodee/Neo Network. Defendants SHIM and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would submit these fraudulent records to immigration officials, if requested, and/or provide them to the purported foreign students for the purpose of deceiving immigration officials.

- 8. In order to avoid arousing the suspicions of immigration officials when purported foreign students remained in the United States for extended periods of time, defendant SHIM, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would transfer the purported foreign students between schools in the Prodee/Neo Network. By transferring a purported foreign student from one school to another, defendant SHIM would be able to maintain that foreign student's F-1 student status for years without creating the appearance that the foreign student had pursued a course of study at a single school for an inordinate period of time.
- 9. In exchange for receiving a Form I-20 and being reported in SEVIS as a legitimate foreign student, purported foreign students would make "tuition" payments to defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, which corresponded to the length of time that the purported foreign students were enrolled at a school in the Prodee/Neo Network.

C. OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish its object, defendants SHIM, MOON, and CHOI, together with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, committed and willfully caused others to commit various overt acts in Los Angeles County, within the Central

District of California, and elsewhere, including, but not limited to, the following:

Overt Act No. 1: On or about April 20, 2009, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 1, an individual living in Texas, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 2: On or about April 20, 2009, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 1, an individual living in Texas.

Overt Act No. 3: In or around July 2009, during a meeting at Prodee/Neo, defendant SHIM advised Foreign Student Number 8 that he could help Foreign Student Number 8 obtain F-1 student status despite the fact that Foreign Student Number 8 lived in Arizona and could not attend classes at the Prodee/Neo Network in Los Angeles.

Overt Act No. 4: On or about December 3, 2009, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 2, an individual living in San Francisco, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 5: On or about August 20, 2010, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 17, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 6: On or about August 20, 2010, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 17, an individual living in Nevada.

Overt Act No. 7: In or around November 2010, defendant SHIM spoke with Foreign Student Number 4, an individual living in Nevada, and advised Foreign Student Number 4 that he/she would be able to

enroll in Prodee/Neo and not attend classes, that "tuition" would be \$1,200 for six months, and that Foreign Student Number 4's address in Los Angeles would be 1456 Colby Avenue, Apt. #6, for purposes of reporting information about Foreign Student Number 4 into SEVIS.

Overt Act No. 8: In or around November 2010, defendant SHIM caused to be issued and sent via United States mail Form I-20s from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Students Numbers 4 and 5, individuals living in Nevada.

Overt Act No. 9: On or about December 3, 2010, defendant SHIM caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 3, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at WJMD.

Overt Act No. 10: On or about December 8, 2010, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 4, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 11: On or about December 8, 2010, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 5, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 12: On or about December 27, 2010, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 18, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 13: On or about December 27, 2010, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 18, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 14: On or about January 25, 2011, defendant SHIM caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 6, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at ACFS.

Overt Act No. 15: In or around August 2011, during a meeting at Prodee/Neo, defendant SHIM told Foreign Students Numbers 7 and 11 that they should try to move to California after Foreign Students Numbers 7 and 11 advised that they lived in Arizona and could not attend classes at Prodee/Neo, but defendant SHIM did not deactivate either Foreign Student Number 7 or 11 as students in SEVIS.

Overt Act No. 16: On or about August 8, 2011, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 7, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 17: On or about August 9, 2011, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 7, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 18: On or about August 26, 2011, an unindicted co-conspirator entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 8, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Likie.

Overt Act No. 19: On or about November 16, 2011, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 4, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 20: On or about November 16, 2011, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 4, an individual living in Nevada.

Overt Act No. 21: On or about November 16, 2011, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 5, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 22: On or about November 16, 2011, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 5, an individual living in Nevada.

Overt Act No. 23: On or about December 15, 2011, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 18, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 24: On or about December 15, 2011, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 18, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 25: On or about December 28, 2011, defendant SHIM caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 9, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at WJMD.

Overt Act No. 26: On or about April 3, 2012, defendant SHIM caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 10, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at ACFS.

Overt Act No. 27: On or about May 7, 2012, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 11, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 28: On or about May 7, 2012, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 11, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 29: On or about June 28, 2012, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 2, an individual living in San Francisco, was a registered and active student at Likie.

Overt Act No. 30: On or about June 28, 2012, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Likie to Foreign Student Number 2, an individual living in San Francisco.

Overt Act No. 31: On or about July 11, 2012, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 7, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 32: On or about July 11, 2012, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 7, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 33: On or about August 2, 2012, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 14, an individual living in Texas, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 34: On or about August 2, 2012, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 14, an individual living in Texas.

Overt Act No. 35: On or about August 31, 2012, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 8, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 36: On or about September 20, 2012, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 1, an individual living in Texas, was a registered and active student at Likie.

Overt Act No. 37: On or about September 20, 2012, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Likie to Foreign Student Number 1, an individual living in Texas.

Overt Act No. 38: On or about November 21, 2012, defendant SHIM caused to be delivered to DHS, by private mail delivery, fraudulent student records, including attendance records, transcripts, and bank statements, for Foreign Student Number 4, Foreign Student Number 5, Foreign Student Number 11, Foreign Student Number 12, Foreign Student Number 13, and Foreign Student Number 14.

Overt Act No. 39: On or about January 9, 2013, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 18, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Likie.

Overt Act No. 40: On or about January 9, 2013, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Likie to Foreign Student Number 18, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 41: On or about January 11, 2013, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 15, an individual living in Washington, was a registered and active student at Likie.

Overt Act No. 42: In or around January 2013, defendant CHOI enrolled Foreign Student Number 16, an individual living in Nevada, as a student at Prodee/Neo, accepted a \$1,400 "tuition" payment from

Foreign Student Number 16, and advised Foreign Student Number 16 to say that he/she was actually attending the school if asked by anyone.

Overt Act No. 43: On or about January 18, 2013, defendant CHOI caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 16, an individual living in Nevada, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 44: On or about January 21, 2013, defendant CHOI caused to be mailed a Form I-20, signed by defendant SHIM, from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 16, an individual living in Nevada.

Overt Act No. 45: On or about January 24, 2013, defendant MOON accepted a \$1,200 "tuition" payment for Foreign Student Number 14 to remain enrolled at Prodee/Neo, during a time when Foreign Student Number 14 lived in Texas, which defendant MOON acknowledged would mean that Foreign Student Number 14 would not be able to attend classes regularly at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 46: On or about April 19, 2013, after being alerted that Foreign Student Number 15, an individual living in Washington, had been questioned by immigration officials about his/her enrollment at Likie, defendant SHIM deactivated Foreign Student Number 15 in SEVIS.

Overt Act No. 47: On or about May 16, 2013, defendant SHIM spoke with Foreign Student Number 1, an individual living in Texas, about a friend of Foreign Student Number 1 who wanted to enroll in the Prodee/Neo Network, and defendant SHIM advised that as long as the friend paid "tuition" and obtained a California driver's license, the friend could stay out-of-state and not attend classes.

Overt Act No. 48: On or about May 29, 2013, defendant SHIM caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 11, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at WJMD.

Overt Act No. 49: On or about July 31, 2013, defendant MOON accepted a \$1,800 "tuition" payment for Foreign Student Number 14 to be enrolled at Likie at a time when Foreign Student Number 14 lived in Texas.

Overt Act No. 50: On or about July 31, 2013, defendant MOON provided a Form I-20, signed by defendant SHIM, from Likie to Foreign Student Number 14, an individual living in Texas.

Overt Act No. 51: On or about July 31, 2013, defendant MOON caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 14 was a registered and active student at Likie.

Overt Act No. 52: On or about August 1, 2013, defendants MOON and CHOI, and other unindicted co-conspirators, met at Prodee/Neo and discussed an upcoming accreditation review that would affect WJMD's authorization to admit foreign students, as well as defendants SHIM's and MOON's plan to deceive the accreditors by having students from Prodee/Neo pose as WJMD students during the accreditation review.

Overt Act No. 53: On or about August 19, 2013, defendant SHIM caused information to be entered into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 7, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at ACFS.

Overt Act No. 54: On or about August 22, 2013, defendant MOON and other unindicted co-conspirators met at WJMD and discussed an upcoming accreditation review that would affect WJMD's authorization to admit foreign students, as well as defendants SHIM's and MOON's

plan to deceive the accreditors by having students from Prodee/Neo pose as WJMD students during the accreditation review.

Overt Act No. 55: On or about August 26, 2013, defendant SHIM entered information into SEVIS indicating that Foreign Student Number 8, an individual living in Arizona, was a registered and active student at Prodee/Neo.

Overt Act No. 56: On or about August 26, 2013, defendant SHIM issued a Form I-20 from Prodee/Neo to Foreign Student Number 8, an individual living in Arizona.

Overt Act No. 57: On or about August 27, 2013, defendant SHIM spoke with Foreign Student Number 2, an individual living in San Francisco, and advised that to successfully obtain a green card, Foreign Student Number 2 should list a Los Angeles address as his/her home address to accompany the Form I-20, submit the green card application from Los Angeles, and never say that he did not attend classes.

Overt Act No. 58: On or about October 1, 2013, defendant SHIM spoke with Foreign Student Number 7 and advised Foreign Student Number 7 that he/she could remain in Arizona even though he/she was enrolled in the Prodee/Neo Network as a student.

Overt Act No. 59: On or about December 4, 2013, defendant CHOI told Foreign Student Number 16, an individual living in Nevada, that Foreign Student Number 16 should lie to immigration officials about the fact that Foreign Student Number 16 did not attend classes at Prodee/Neo and lived in Nevada during the entire time Foreign Student Number 16 was enrolled at Prodee/Neo as a student.

Overt Act No. 60: On or about January 16, 2014, defendant CHOI caused an e-mail to be sent to Foreign Student Number 16, an

individual living in Nevada, which attached a fraudulent Prodee/Neo transcript signed by defendant SHIM indicating that Foreign Student Number 16 attended Prodee/Neo from February 2013 to July 2013.

Overt Act No. 61: On or about January 17, 2014, defendant CHOI caused to be mailed to Foreign Student Number 16, an individual living in Nevada, a fraudulent Prodee/Neo transcript signed by defendant SHIM indicating that Foreign Student Number 16 attended Prodee/Neo from February 2013 to July 2013.

Overt Act No. 62: On or about January 30, 2014, defendant MOON accepted a \$1,800 "tuition" payment for Foreign Student Number 14 to attend Likie, during a time when Foreign Student Number 14 lived in Texas, which defendant MOON stated was okay.

Overt Act No. 63: On or about July 28, 2014, defendant MOON accepted a \$1,800 "tuition" payment for Foreign Student Number 14 to attend Likie, during a time when Foreign Student Number 14 lived in Texas.

Overt Act No. 64: On or about August 28, 2014, defendant CHOI accepted a \$1,800 "tuition" payment for Foreign Student Number 8 to attend Prodee/Neo, during a time when Foreign Student Number 8 lived in Arizona, which defendant CHOI acknowledged was difficult to manage because Foreign Student Number 8 would not be able to report to Prodee/Neo if asked to do so.

COUNTS TWO THROUGH FOURTEEN

[18 U.S.C. §§ 1546(a), 2(b)]

- 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.
- 2. On or about the dates listed below, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim," knowingly forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, and willfully caused to be forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, a document prescribed by statute and regulation for entry into and as evidence of authorized stay and employment in the United States, that is, a Form I-20, for the foreign student described below to attend the school listed below, knowing the document to be forged, altered, and falsely made, to have been procured by means of a false claim and statement, and to have been otherwise procured by fraud and unlawfully obtained.

COUNT	DATE	STUDENT	SCHOOL
OWT	8/20/2010	Foreign Student Number 17	Prodee/Neo
THREE	12/27/2010	Foreign Student Number 18	Prodee/Neo
FOUR	8/8/2011	Foreign Student Number 7	Prodee/Neo
FIVE	11/16/2011	Foreign Student Number 4	Prodee/Neo
SIX	11/16/2011	Foreign Student Number 5	Prodee/Neo
SEVEN	12/15/2011	Foreign Student Number 18	Prodee/Neo
EIGHT	5/7/2012	Foreign Student Number 11	Prodee/Neo
NINE	6/28/2012	Foreign Student Number 2	Likie

	Case 2:15-cr-0	00113-GW Doc	cument 1 Filed 03/10/15 Page 20 of 28 Page ID #:20
1	TEN	7/11/2012	Foreign Student Number 7 Prodee/Neo
2	ELEVEN	8/2/2012	Foreign Student Number 14 Prodee/Neo
3	TWELVE	9/20/2012	Foreign Student Number 1 Likie
4	THIRTEEN	1/9/2013	Foreign Student Number 18 Likie
5	FOURTEEN	8/26/2013	Foreign Student Number 8 Prodee/Neo
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
2.0			
21			
22	·		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

COUNT FIFTEEN

[18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1546(a), 2(b)]

 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.

On or about January 18, 2013, in Los Angeles County, within

2.

10.

the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant EUN YOUNG CHOI, also known as "Jamie Choi," knowingly forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, and willfully caused to be forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, a document prescribed by statute and regulation for entry into and as evidence of authorized stay and employment in the United States, that is, a Form I-20 for a foreign student, namely, Foreign Student Number 16, to attend Prodee/Neo, knowing the document to be forged, altered, and falsely made, to have been procured by means of a false claim and statement, and to have been otherwise procured by fraud and unlawfully obtained.

COUNT SIXTEEN

[18 U.S.C. \S \$ 1546(a), 2(b)]

- 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.
- 2. On or about July 31, 2013, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant HYUNG CHAN MOON, also known as "Steve Moon," knowingly forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, and willfully caused to be forged, altered, falsely made, used, possessed, obtained, accepted, and received, a document prescribed by statute and regulation for entry into and as evidence of authorized stay and employment in the United States, that is, a Form I-20 for a foreign student, namely, Foreign Student Number 14, to attend Likie, knowing the document to be forged, altered, and falsely made, to have been procured by means of a false claim and statement, and to have been otherwise procured by fraud and unlawfully obtained.

.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

[8 U.S.C. §§ 1324(a) (1) (A) (iv), (B) (i)]

- 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.
- 2. Beginning on or about August 8, 2011, and continuing until at least on or about October 1, 2013, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim," for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain, knowingly encouraged and induced an alien, namely, Foreign Student Number 7, to reside in the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that such residence was in violation of law.

. 14

COUNT EIGHTEEN

[8 U.S.C. \S \$ 1324(a)(1)(A)(iv), (B)(i)]

- 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.
- 2. Beginning on or about September 20, 2012, and continuing until on or about November 12, 2013, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim," for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain, knowingly encouraged and induced an alien, namely, Foreign Student Number 1, to reside in the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that such residence was in violation of law.

COUNT NINETEEN

[8 U.S.C. \$\$ 1324(a)(1)(A)(iv), (B)(i)]

- 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.
- 2. Beginning on or about August 27, 2013, and continuing until on or about May 13, 2014, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim," for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain, knowingly encouraged and induced an alien, namely, Foreign Student Number 2, to reside in the United States, knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that such residence was in violation of law.

COUNTS TWENTY THROUGH TWENTY-ONE

[18 U.S.C. \$ 1956(a)(1)(A)(i)]

- 1. The Grand Jury hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs one through nine of the Introductory Allegations of this Indictment, as though fully set forth herein.
- 2. On or about the following dates, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant HEE SUN SHIM, also known as ("aka") "Leonard Shim," aka "Leo Shim," knowing that the property involved in each of the financial transactions described below represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, knowingly conducted and attempted to conduct the following financial transactions, affecting interstate and foreign commerce, which transactions in fact involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, that is, immigration fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1546(a), with the intent to promote the carrying on of such specified unlawful activity:

COUNT	DATE	FINANCIAL TRANSACTION
TWENTY	1/12/2012	Issuance of a check in the amount of \$3,600 from a Prodee/Neo bank account at Uniti Bank, in Los Angeles, California, made payable to "2975 Wilshire Center, LLC," as payment of rent for Prodee/Neo office space at 2975 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010.
TWENTY-ONE	5/9/2012	Issuance of a check in the amount of \$3,600 from a Prodee/Neo bank account at Uniti Bank, in Los Angeles, California, made payable to "2975 Wilshire Center, LLC," as payment of rent for Prodee/Neo office space at 2975 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

[18 U.S.C. \S 982(a)(1), (a)(6)(A)]

- 1. Pursuant to Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given to defendants that the United States of America will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(1) and (a)(6)(A), in the event of any defendant's conviction on any of Counts One through Twenty-One of this Indictment.
- 2. Defendants shall forfeit to the United States of America the following property:
- a. all right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal, constituting or derived from any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of any offense set forth in any of Counts One through Twenty-One of this Indictment;
- b. any property, real or personal, involved in any offense set forth in Counts Twenty through Twenty-One of this Indictment, and any property traceable thereto; and
- c. a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraphs 2(a) and (b). The property subject to forfeiture includes, but is not limited to, a monetary judgment representing the gross proceeds of money equal to the total amount of money that was involved in the violations contained in Counts One through Twenty-One. If more than one defendant is found guilty on any of Counts One through Twenty-One, each defendant found guilty shall be jointly and severally liable for the entire amount forfeited pursuant to that Count.
- 3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b), each

defendant shall forfeit substitute property, up to the total value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as the result of any act or omission of a defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred, sold to, or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

2324

24

26

27

28

A TRUE BILL

/ Δ /
Foreperson

STEPHANIE YONEKURA

Acting United States Attorney

ROBERT E. DUGDALE

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

ELIZABETH R. YANG Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Violent & Organized Crime

JUSTIN R. RHOADES

Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Violent & Organized

Crime Section

WILSON PARK

Section

Assistant United States Attorney Violent & Organized Crime Section

LINDSEY GREER DOTSON

Assistant United States Attorney General Crimes Section